Academic Department:	Business
Program Name: (Major, Major/Concentration)	Finance
Program Outcome Number:	1
Program Outcome Description:	Graduates will be able to Identify appropriate business behaviors for Christian business practitioners. [Christian Worldview; Professionalism]

Outcome Criteria	Criterion Description/Name	Level 4 Description	Level 3 Description	Level 2 Description	Level 1 Description	Level 0 Description
FIN-1-A-Christian Values	Christian Values	to illustrate mastery in understanding of Christian	to illustrate a solid	understanding of Christian	to illustrate	No understanding of Christian values
FIN-1-B-Biblical Support		use of Biblical references to support value	Demonstrates consistent use of Biblical references to support value conclusions			No Biblical references evident

Academic Department:	Business				]	
Program Name: (Major, Major/Concentration)	Finance				]	
Program Outcome Number:	2	]				
Program Outcome Description:		ess theories and concepts of usiness Knowledge and App		business (accounting, mark	eting, management, finance	e, and economics) in an
Outcome Criteria	Criterion Description/Name	Level 4 Description	Level 3 Description	Level 2 Description	Level 1 Description	Level 0 Description
Outcome Criteria FIN-2-A-Overall Score on the MFT	Description/Name	•	Level 3 Description As a group, scored a minimum of 60th percentile or better (but less than 80th) on the MFT	Level 2 Description As a group, scored a minimum of 40th percentile or better (but less than 60th) on the MFT	Level 1 Description As a group, scored a minimum of 20th percentile or better (but less than 40th) on the MFT	Level 0 Description Scored below 20 <sup>th</sup> percentile as a group on the MFT

less than 80th) on the

Finance Portion of the MFT less than 60th) on the

Finance Portion of the

MFT

less than 40th) on the

Finance Portion of the

MFT

MFT

MFT

Academic Department:	Business
Program Name: (Major, Major/Concentration)	Finance
Program Outcome Number:	3
Program Outcome Description:	Graduates will use effective oral communication skills in the context of business through formal or informal oral presentations and/or other business-related projects requiring oral communication [Oral Communication]

Outcome Criteria	Criterion Description/Name	Level 4 Description	Level 3 Description	Level 2 Description	Level 1 Description	Level 0 Description
FIN-3-A-Organization	Organization	Organizational pattern (sequenced material with transitions) is clearly and consistently observable and is skillful in making the content of the presentation cohesive.		Organizational pattern (sequenced material with transitions) is intermittently observable within the presentation.	Organizational pattern (sequenced material with transitions) is rarely observable within the presentation.	Assignment not completed
FIN-3-B-Language	Language	Language was always articulated du e to volume and rate; language choices were always professional.	Language was often articulated due to volume and rate; language choices were often professional.	Language was seldom articulated du e to volume and rate; language choices were seldom professional.	Language was not articulated due to volume and rate; language choices were unprofessional.	Assignment not completed
FIN-3-C-Delivery	Delivery	Delivery techniques (posture, gestures, eye contact) make the speaker appear polished and confident and delivery enhances the presentation.	Delivery techniques (posture, gestures, eye contact) make the speaker appear comfortable.	Delivery techniques (posture, gestures, eye contact) make the speaker appear tentative.	Delivery techniques (posture, gestures, eye contact) make the speaker appear uncomfortable and detract from the presentation.	Assignment not completed

Academic Department:	Business	
Program Name: (Major, Major/Concentration)	Finance	
Program Outcome Number:	4	
Program Outcome Description:	Graduates will demonstrate ability to collaborate as part of a team in order to solve business problems or achieve	a common goal [Teamwork

**Outcome Criteria** Criterion Description/Name Level 4 Description Level 3 Description Level 2 Description Level 1 Description Level 0 Description Attendance/Engagement Seldom attended group Never attended group FIN-4-A Always attended group Frequently attended Attended 1 or Attendance/Engagement meetings group meetings meetings 2 group meetings meetings FIN-4-B-Quality of Quality of Ideas/Work Always contributed high Frequently contributed Seldom contributed high Rarely contributed high Never contributed Ideas/Work quality ideas and high high quality ideas and high quality ideas and high quality ideas and high quality work quality work quality work quality work FIN-4-C-Timeliness Timeliness Always met group Frequently met group Seldom met group Rarely met group Never met deadlines deadlines deadlines deadlines deadlines FIN-4-D-Fosters Team Fosters Team Climate Supports a constructive Supports a constructive Supports a constructive Supports a constructive Did not support a team climate by doing any Climate team climate by doing constructive team climate team climate team climate by doing all of the by doing any three of the two of the following: any one of the following: following: following: Treats team members Treats team members Treats team members respectfully by being respectfully by being Treats team members respectfully by being respectfully by being polite and open: polite and open: polite and open; polite and open: Actively engaged without Actively engaged without Actively engaged without Actively engaged without distractions (cell phones, distractions (cell phones, distractions (cell phones, distractions (cell phones, texting); texting); texting): texting): Helps to resolve conflict in a way that strengthens a way that strengthens a way that strengthens a way that strengthens the team; the team; the team; the team; Provides assistance and/or Provides assistance and/or Provides assistance and/or Provides assistance and/or encouragement to team encouragement to team encouragement to team encouragement to team members. members. members. members.

ORU MASTER OUTCOM	1E RUBRIC
Academic Department:	Business
Program Name: (Major, Major/Concentration)	Finance
Program Outcome Number:	5
Program Outcome Description:	Graduates will use effective written communication skills in the context of business through formal or informal written reports, papers, or other written business-related projects [Written Communication].

Outcome Criteria	Criterion Description/Name	Level 4 Description	Level 3 Description	Level 2 Description	Level 1 Description	Level 0 Description
FIN-5-A-Grammar and Spelling	Grammar and Spelling	Correct grammar and spelling are flawless. The paper is of publishable quality.	Correct grammar and spelling are frequently evident with a few minor punctuation or spelling errors.	Correct grammar and spelling are evident at times with several mistakes in punctuation or spelling.	Correct grammar and spelling are rarely evident with continual mistakes in punctuation and spelling.	No attempt was made to use accurate grammar and spelling.
FIN-5-B-Organization	Organization	Organizational pattern (sequenced material with transitions) is clearly and consistently observable and is skillful in making the content cohesive.	Organizational pattern (sequenced material with transitions) is clearly and consistently observable.	Organizational pattern (sequenced material with transitions) is intermittently observable.	Organizational pattern (sequenced material with transitions) is disjointed and rarely observable.	No attempt was made to sequence material with transitions.
FIN-5-C-Content	Content	Uses appropriate content to illustrate mastery of the subject matter	Uses appropriate content to illustrate a solid understanding of the subject matter	Uses appropriate content to illustrate an adequate understanding of the subject matter	Uses appropriate content to illustrate a superficial awareness of the subject matter	No understanding of the content or subject matter
FIN-5-D-Vocabulary	Vocabulary	Language was always professional, objective, and written in 3 <sup>rd</sup> person.	Language was often professional, objective, and written in 3 <sup>rd</sup> person.	Language was seldom professional, objective, or not written in 3 <sup>rd</sup> person.	Language was unprofessional (using slang terms and exaggerations) with informal opinionated statements and constant use of first person.	Language was unintelligible.
FIN-5-E-Sources and Evidence	Sources and Evidence	Demonstrates skillful use of high-quality, credible, sources to develop ideas	Demonstrates consistent use of credible sources	Demonstrates some use of credible sources	Demonstrates minimal use of credible sources	No sources evident
FIN-5-F-APA Format	APA Format	APA format is correctly used in both in-text citations and reference page.	Maximum of 3 errors noted in APA formatting	Maximum of 5 errors noted in APA formatting	6 or more errors are noted in APA formatting.	APA format was not attempted.

ORU MASTER OUTCOME	RUBRIC					
Academic Department:	Business				]	
Program Name: (Major, Major/Concentration)	Finance				]	
Program Outcome Number:	6	]				
Program Outcome Description:	Graduates will assess the financial cond	dition of a firm through the analy	sis of financial ratios, cost of cap	ital, dividend polices, additional j	funds needed, and sources and u	ses of funds
Outrouve Criteria	Criterian Description (Norma	Louis A Description	Level 2 Description	Level 2 Description	Level 4 Description	
Outcome Criteria	Criterion Description/Name	Level 4 Description	Level 3 Description	Level 2 Description	Level 1 Description	Level 0 Description
FIN-6-A-Demonstration of	Demonstration of Quantitative	Excellent demonstration. The	Good demonstration. The	Adequate demonstration. The	Poor demonstration. The	No demonstration. No
		Excellent demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at	Good demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at	Adequate demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at	Poor demonstration. The quantitative calculations	
FIN-6-A-Demonstration of	Demonstration of Quantitative	Excellent demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 80% correct, and the	Good demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 70% correct (but less	Adequate demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 60% correct (but less	Poor demonstration. The quantitative calculations are less than 60% correct, and	No demonstration. No
FIN-6-A-Demonstration of	Demonstration of Quantitative	Excellent demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 80% correct, and the calculations are clearly	Good demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 70% correct (but less than 80%), and the	Adequate demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 60% correct (but less than 70%), and the	Poor demonstration. The quantitative calculations are less than 60% correct, and the calculations are generally	No demonstration. No
FIN-6-A-Demonstration of	Demonstration of Quantitative	Excellent demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 80% correct, and the calculations are clearly presented in an	Good demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 70% correct (but less than 80%), and the calculations are mostly	Adequate demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 60% correct (but less than 70%), and the calculations are only	Poor demonstration. The quantitative calculations are less than 60% correct, and the calculations are generally presented in an unclear format	No demonstration. No
FIN-6-A-Demonstration of	Demonstration of Quantitative	Excellent demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 80% correct, and the calculations are clearly presented in an understandable format with	Good demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 70% correct (but less than 80%), and the calculations are mostly presented in an	Adequate demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 60% correct (but less than 70%), and the calculations are only somewhat presented in an	Poor demonstration. The quantitative calculations are less than 60% correct, and the calculations are generally presented in an unclear format or the calculations are absent	No demonstration. No calculations were attempted.
FIN-6-A-Demonstration of	Demonstration of Quantitative	Excellent demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 80% correct, and the calculations are clearly presented in an understandable format with appropriate methodological	Good demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 70% correct (but less than 80%), and the calculations are mostly presented in an understandable format with	Adequate demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 60% correct (but less than 70%), and the calculations are only somewhat presented in an understandable format with	Poor demonstration. The quantitative calculations are less than 60% correct, and the calculations are generally presented in an unclear format or the calculations are absent altogether, and very few of the	No demonstration. No calculations were attempted.
FIN-6-A-Demonstration of	Demonstration of Quantitative	Excellent demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 80% correct, and the calculations are clearly presented in an understandable format with	Good demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 70% correct (but less than 80%), and the calculations are mostly presented in an understandable format with most of the appropriate	Adequate demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 60% correct (but less than 70%), and the calculations are only somewhat presented in an understandable format with some of the appropriate	Poor demonstration. The quantitative calculations are less than 60% correct, and the calculations are generally presented in an unclear format or the calculations are absent altogether, and very few of the appropriate methodological	No demonstration. No calculations were attempted.
FIN-6-A-Demonstration of	Demonstration of Quantitative	Excellent demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 80% correct, and the calculations are clearly presented in an understandable format with appropriate methodological	Good demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 70% correct (but less than 80%), and the calculations are mostly presented in an understandable format with	Adequate demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 60% correct (but less than 70%), and the calculations are only somewhat presented in an understandable format with	Poor demonstration. The quantitative calculations are less than 60% correct, and the calculations are generally presented in an unclear format or the calculations are absent altogether, and very few of the	No demonstration. No calculations were attempted.
FIN-6-A-Demonstration of	Demonstration of Quantitative	Excellent demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 80% correct, and the calculations are clearly presented in an understandable format with appropriate methodological	Good demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 70% correct (but less than 80%), and the calculations are mostly presented in an understandable format with most of the appropriate	Adequate demonstration. The quantitative calculations are at least 60% correct (but less than 70%), and the calculations are only somewhat presented in an understandable format with some of the appropriate	Poor demonstration. The quantitative calculations are less than 60% correct, and the calculations are generally presented in an unclear format or the calculations are absent altogether, and very few of the appropriate methodological	No demonstration. No calculations were attempted.